

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/079,489

Applicant(s)

NIETHAMMER, BERND

Examiner

Timothy P. Solak

Art Unit

3746

All Participants:

(1) Timothy P. Solak.

(2) Andrew Calderon.

Status of Application: Restriction

(3) Andrew Calderon's assistant Karim .

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003

Time: pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 06/13/2003, I called Andrew Calderon, to inform him of an election requirement and discovered [from his answering machine] he would be unavailable till 09/6/17/2003.

On 06/17/2003, I spoke to Andrew Calderon's assistant and informed her that an election was required and suggested it best if it were done in writing.